recall what was going on.

I did not do any extensive 12-hour or 24-hour per day counts.

Q. Okay. Referring to the large black and white map, I don't know what exhibit number it is.

JUDGE TATE: 1.

MS. DICKSON: It's Exhibit 1.

BY MR. BERRY:

- Q. On Exhibit 1, to the west of Old Kirk Road, is that all farmland?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What kind of land is it?
- A. It's a mix of vacant and some commercial development on -- immediately to the west of Old Kirk on the south side of Route 38.

On the north side there's some scattered residential. Then further north in Geneva there's extensive industrial development in the Geneva Industrial Park.

Q. In the vicinity of Kautz Road and Route 38?

west, according to this map, there's very little --

MR. SHUMATE: You mean east.

MR. BERRY: East, yes, east.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

I mean east of -- essentially east of the Kautz/Route 38/UP intersection area, that's all going to be developed in the future, but to a great extent, you know, that's a little longer term in nature.

The development that I referred to earlier which is west of Kautz Road, south of Route 38, and east of Old Kirk is something which is likely to happen within the next several years based on the city's desire to see that area developed, based on their extension of sewer and water service to that area, and based on conversations that have already existed between the city, the various city departments and the property owners who have organized to investigate development possibilities.

Q. But you stated before for other

- A. Well, extending all the way west from New Kirk Road to Kautz Road, yes.
 - Q. And even further to the west?
- A. Further to the west on Route 38 is a mixture of -- I'm sorry, I -- pardon me, I had my directions mixed up.

As we go west, we're really in the City of Geneva proper in terms of, you know, the old district. There's a mixture of commercial, industrial, residential, you name it.

Q. Maybe -- no, okay, I see.

So there is a lot of unused land in and around Old Kirk Road, undeveloped land?

A. There's undeveloped land but I wouldn't use that description.

I don't think there's a lot of undeveloped land in the sphere that I see Old Kirk Road existing within.

Q. Well, you can see the development around Old Kirk Road itself where you can see where the UP Railroad comes through where there's a term UP and Old Kirk Road, that area, but from that point

improvements that depends upon the economy, does it not?

A. Well, I have this conversation all the time, and when people ask me how my business is going at this time of our state of the nation and I have to admit in the Chicago area that development activity has barely slowed a notch despite the economy.

Developers are still preparing plans, they're still purchasing land, they're still proceeding with infrastructure improvements, they're still proceeding with private building and capital improvements, and that's just the nature of the Chicago area.

Particularly in the location like this which is adjacent to and within the sphere of influence of the City of Geneva.

- Q. But that's still conjecture? We don't know that's going to happen in the next two years?
- A. Well, if I could maybe extrapolate where I think you're heading with your question, in my

1 2

```
record that the petition that has been presented may not follow the format that's required by the State of Illinois and certainly by it's -- the documentation as it shows itself does not include -- is not limited to those people that live in the jurisdiction of the respondent.

JUDGE TATE: Thank you.
```

Respondent's Exhibits 1 through 16 are admitted into evidence.

(Whereupon, Respondent's Exhibits 1 through 16 were admitted into evidence.)

JUDGE TATE: Is there anything else for the record?

MR. SHUMATE: Yes, your Honor. It's very short. I have Rick Sturm here. As you know Jerry Linneman has retired and there have been --only been about, oh, two or three developments since we had our first hearing which was in 1991 on this case. It's -- it was September 24, 2001. That's what I meant to say. If I said 1991, I apologize.

```
township were in negotiations to work toward a
stipulation, a stipulation which we believe was
agreed to until it was then presented before some
of the constituents that were represented by the
Township Commissioner.
```

And, in addition, we have received a letter from the DuPage Railroad Safety Council which is the county that's literally adjacent to this property indicating their support for the closure of the crossing, and I would like to have those -- that -- the discussion about how we did cooperate with the township, and I think the record should show that what we proposed with regard to the closing and the remuneration and the work that we would do, and I think the record would need that to show clearly our good faith to work with the township to work out an amiable closure of this crossing.

JUDGE TATE: Mr. Sturm testified two weeks ago, did he not?

MS. DICKSON: Yes, your Honor. MR. SHUMATE: Yes, your Honor.

```
MS. DICKSON: We haven't been at it that long.
MR. SHUMATE: September 24, 2001, and I'd like
to call Mr. Rick Sturm, if I could.
```

JUDGE TATE: As a rebuttal witness or what?
MR. SHUMATE: Well, we have been in -- well, I
could -- yes, I'll call it rebuttal witness.
That will be fine.

MS. DICKSON: Your Honor, we'd object to Union Pacific calling any witnesses at this point, and September 21st, and that is the time in which we're looking at this petition for railroad crossing closure.

Union Pacific had the full and fair opportunity to present any and all evidence that it had in support of its petition.

At this point there would be no further evidence that they should be able to provide based on the rules that we -- we're governed by when we entered into this hearing.

MR. SHUMATE: I disagree with that, your Honor, in that there were many times that this case was continued because the railroad and the

```
JUDGE TATE: No, I think that any further additions to the record will be in the form of short briefs.
```

MR. SHUMATE: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.

MS. DICKSON: Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE TATE: You're welcome.

 $\label{eq:And those will be due $\ensuremath{$\ensuremath{\sim}$}$ how long do you need?$

MR. SHUMATE: It will just be in the form of a letter with a couple attachments, your Honor. I don't need much time at all.

JUDGE TATE: Nothing too long.

MR. SHUMATE: No.

JUDGE TATE: Keep it, let's say, five to ten pages at most.

MS. DICKSON: Your Honor, as I've never been -- Mr. Shumate has had more experience than I, are you -- is it my understanding you're looking to this in the form of a closing argument or statement of what the evidence provided and what the result --

JUDGE TATE: What you usually put in a brief,

	#_
	#714986h 🖽 1:11
	/right-out থে 65:1,21
-	0
Ì	084-003270 H12:14
1	1
١	1 [11] 3:16 10:2 51:4,8 66:18 113:
	7,8,10 120:18 121:8,11
1	1,000 (1) 52:13
Ì	1.4 ③ 74:6,8,11
Ì	10 (4) 10:21 11:3 103:10 105:4
1	11 [10] 16 :18,19,20 20 :19 24 :9,10
1	28:17 104:18 105:4 125:19
1	11:00 [1]9:4
١	12 [2] 58: 19 75: 18
Ì	12-hour [2] 87:17 113:2
1	13 [4] 6:17 8:4 63:21 64:1
Ì	14 151 8 :1,4,5,6 10 :16
١	15 8 8:10,12 9:1 10:2 27:16 41:
Ì	18 105 :15,17
١	16 6 3:16 61:13,17 120 :18 121: 8,
ł	11
١	169 (1) 3:4
l	17 (2) 27 :16 50 :4
I	171 (11 3 :14

1999 [5] 55:16 56:3 87:19 88:3

173 [1] 3:4

174986h [1] 4:8

1991 [2] 121:19.21

1990 (11118:8

1994 (4 55:18

104:17

2 (7) 20:19 30:22 43:4 45:14 50: 22 51:5,6 2.39 [3] 75:8,10,11 20 (5) 91:3 105:15,17,22 106:7 2001 [2] 121:20 122:2 203 [1] 2:5 206 [1] 3:7 210 (113:8 21st (1) 122:10 224 [1] 3:15 2300 (2) 2:10 5:6 24 [2] 121:20 122:2 24-hour [2] 87:18 113:3 248 [1] 3:8 24th [2] 125:22 127:3 279 [1] 3:9 281 [113:9

3

3 (111:9 3.61 (2) 75:8,11 3:30 (5) 36:15,19 37:3 40:18 41: 14 30 (2) 42:10 103:12 300 (2) 101:9,10 30th (1) 5:17 33.75 (1) 4:9 35 (2) 27:22 36:8 35th (1) 94:15

38 [121] 9:19 12:18 13:4,8 14:7,18 15:9 19:5 21:18,19 22:10 23:10, 12,17 25:6,12,16 30:8,14 32:2,4, 13,19 33:4,10 34:11,14 35:3,9 **38**:9,12,21 **39**:1,15 **50**:19,20 **51**: 16.20 52;1,13,18,19,22 53;2,3,6, 8,10 54:2 55:1,5 56:6,11 57:5 63: 11 64:4,21 65:5,6,7,17,20 67:2,3, 7,11,13,17,18,20 68:15,19,21 69: 6 73:2,2,11 74:5,22 75:2 77:19 80:1,10,16 82:4 83:1 85:20 86:1. 4 91:8,16,18,18,22 92:1,6,10 93: 11 **95**:5.12.16.16.22 **96**:17 **97**:5 98:17 99:8.12 100:19 107:3.14 108:11 111:2,6 113:16,22 114:4 115:13 117:8 119:9 120:8 38/new [3] 73:4 83:20 101:8 38/up [1] 115:7

4

4:30 (3) 36:16 37:1,2 40 (1) 103:12 45 (3) 27:22 36:8 112:19

5

5:00 (4) 37:3 40:19 41:12,14 50 (4) 19:2 527 (4) 4:21 528-3 (1) 10:21

6

6/24 (1) 126:19 600 (3) 65:4 68:3 101:13 60606 (1) 2:3 62701 (2) 2:8 4:22

7

7:00 [2] 36:15 40:18 75 [1] 106:22 78 [1] 75:15

8

8 (2) 126:20,21 8:00 (1) 36:16 800 (2) 69:3 111:18 8th (2) 127:2,3

9

9 (1116:15 90 (11105:1 90-degree (1144:19

Α

able (10) 10:7 19:5 38:7 51:1 69: 18 74:11 86:1 87:6 109:4 122:17 absence (1) 99:6 absolutely [1] 68:13 acceptable (1) 97:4 accepting (1) 29:17 access [39] 9:15,17 17:9,13 19: 19 22:19 24:17 25:4 34:12 59:12, 14,17,19 67:5 79:2,12,12,15,18, 20,20 80:2 81:14 83:17 84:13,13, 16 86:15,16 90:3,5,8,11,12 101: 19 109:22 110:10 111:2 118:17 accesses [1] 90:9 accessing [2] 73:22 75:16 accident [3] 54:4,12 57:4 accidents (4) 53:21 56:21 57:2

100:14 accommodate [2] 67:19 80:15 accomplished (1) 91:16 accordance [2] 4:1 10:20 according (9 115:1 accuracy [1] 73:14 accurate [2] 76:19 119:22 acetylene (4) 37:17 acquisition (1) 117:15 across [8] 21:17,18 22:3,4 40:8, 9 73:8 82:3 active [1] 119:12 activities [3] 60:20 81:6 109:7 activity [4] 90:14 104;21 107:11 actual [1] 112:16 actually [11] 21:5 34:1 37:13 44: 18 53:18 58:17 77:12 78:3 104: 17 106:12 108:15 add [1] 53:14 added [4] 51:17 53:9.11 64:13 adding [1] 86:3 addition [3] 55:19 78:1 123:6 additional [5] 53:10 71:4 74:8 77:14 110:10 additions (1) 124:2 address (4) 4:21 29:11 63:16 126:5 addressed (1) 84:1 addresses [1] 17:3 addressing [2] 96:5,7 adjacent [3] 58:15 116:16 123:8 admit [2] 108:3 116:6 admitted [5] 3:16 120:19,22 121: 9.12 adoption (459:8 adopts (1) 100:2 advantages [1] 80:13 adverse [37] 18:16 66:3,5 70:4,4, 14,18,21 71:1,3 72:4,6 74:5,15 75:3,4,7,14,17,22 76:3,7,20 77:2 78:13 81:22 84:17 85:17 86:7,19 87:2 88:11 95:4,7 104:1 106:8, adversely [2] 71:19 87:4 advice (1) 14:9 aerial [1] 43:4 affiliated [1] 26:13 affix [1] 29:6 agencies [1] 48:12 agency [2] 63:10 96:4 ago [2] 70:12 123:20 agree (4) 17:15 18:2 19:1 118:5 agreed 19 123:3 alabama (2) 57:17,18 allow (1) 40:2 allowed [1] 32:12 allowing (1) 118:17 alluded [1] 119:2 alone [2] 42:12 80:17 already [2] 51:5 115:18 alternate @ 9:16 18:16,22 35:5 67:171:5 alternates (433:2 alternative [11] 9:16 67:15,16 73:

6,18 75:8 81:19 108:22 110:5

alternatives [2] 32:18,22 amiable [1] 123:17 among [4] 32:16 amount [5] 54:16,18 55:8 105:19 analysis @ 14:17 53:18 54:8 74: 18 86:7 98:20 analyze [1] 84:4 analyzed (1) 51:15 annexed [2] 77:14 78:6 another [10] 8:14 11:15 17:7.7 26:2 41:19 68:2 94:19 117:16 118:17 answer [4] 38:18 102:22 108:18 118:22 answered [1] 15:10 anybody [3] 12:7 43:15 104:19 apologize [2] 8:2 121:22 appear [1] 118:7 appearance (45:3 appearances [3] 2:1 4:12 5:9 appears [1] 30:22 applicable [1] 107:1 applied [2] 76:20 78:17 apply [1] 78:15 appreciate [1] 125:10 approach [2] 101:16,21 approaches [3] 64:14 65:9 101: approaching @21:3 appropriate [110:1 approval [2] 13:19 117:13 approve [1] 54:5 approved [1] 52:5 approximately [13] 9:4 27:16 35: 12,12 36:6 48:2 50:4 52:13 58: 19 59:1 60:5 69:3 111:15 april [2] 5:17 107:20 area [78] 7:1 11:5,6,12 16:2 19:8 22:2 23:19 30:7 34:13 42:6 50:9, 12,13 54:1 55:22 62:20 68:22 71: 14,15,22 72:11 73:18 74:19 75:1, 4,6 76:3,4,10,15 77:1,6,9,19,21 78:4,10,11,20 81:20 83:18 84:8, 12,18 85:10,12,22 86:11,13 89: 14,17 90:3,6 93:3,8,12 97:11,12, 13,22 102:10,13,19 106:10 107: 6 109:16 110:1,11 114:22 115:7, 15,17 116:6,14 117:1,3 119:4 areas [2] 67:11 88:15 argument [1] 124:19 arguments [4] 125:1,2 around 1111 25:1 31:9 35:10 40: 18 61:4 67:12 82:6 102:10 107: 13 114:13.19 arrangements (1) 99:18 arterial @ 54:19,20,22 59:11,20 93:12 assess (962:8 assessing [1] 87:22 assignment [3] 62:6,8,12 assistance [1] 52:2 associated [2] 72:21 82:19 assuming [2] 66:21 72:16 at-grade [2] 17:10 38:7

119:16,19

conversation [3] 80:12 116:3 119:13 conversations (2) 63:12 115:18 cooperate [1] 123:12 coordinate (1198:14 coordination [1] 9:18 copies (1) 61:10 copy [2] 11:22,22 correct [38] 10:9,17 11:18 13:8, 21 15:22 24:12 25:2,20 29:13,16 33:6 35:6,7 40:19 49:15 55:2 61: 1.7 62:16 71:21 83:2.4 86:8 89: 14 90:9.19.20 93:6 94:1 102:1 **104**:4,5,10,11 **105**:7,21 **115**:5 correctly [2] 15:2 112:16 correspondence [4] 63:19 corridor [2] 59:11,11 cougars (2) 46:8 108:3 coulter [17] 3:8 14:8 47:1,2,9,11, 13,19 48:1,4 49:1 57:8 61:17 62: 2 118:16 120:13,14 council (1) 123:7 count [3] 87:8.18.19 counties (1) 48:13 counts 181 55:16 56:8 88:2 112: 17,18 113:3 118:5,8 county [61] 4:10 8:16,17 9:6,9,11, 15 11:17,19,21 13:17 14:15 15:4 **34:3 46:8 58:8,9,10,12,14,14,15,** 16,17,18,22 59:4,5,7,11,15,21 60:1,8,21 63:6,8,20 64:2,8 65:15 80:7 90:2 91:9 93:15,18,22 94:3, 9 95:1,1 96:3 97:2 99:1 102:9 103:17 107:8 108:3,21 117:10 123:8 county's [3] 98:9 102:7 109:5 couple [2] 105:5 124:10 course [1] 90:22 cover (1) 77:18 create (1) 69:13 created (1) 83:21 creates (1195:20 creating [1] 110:10 crest [1] 65:6 criteria [13] 54:12 56:12,15 69:19 70:3 71:2 76:20 78:12 81:9,15 87:2 93:1 104:6 cross [10] 21:13 25:9,12,17 30:9 41:14 45:16 67:17 94:12 111:21 cross-examination @ 10:8.11 16:10 24:5 36:3 43:1 85:6 112: 12 118:1 cross-examining (1) 5:18 crossbuck [1] 45:10 crosses [1] 45:15 crossing [95] 1:11 4:8 7:13 8:19 9:12,12 17:11,12,13 18:5 29:20 30:5,16 31:19 35:6,18 38:7 41:6, 15,21 42:15 44:13 45:9,10 50:10, 13,14 62:5,17 63:4,7 66:13,19, 20,21 68:10 69:17,19,19,21 70:5 71:6 72:7,14,15 73:7,21,22 74:9 77:22 78:17,19 79:15 80:13,20 81:9,11,21 82:12,20 83:8,12,13, 14,16 84:3,5,6,15 86:14.22 87: 16 88:7,10,13 94:20 103:15 104:

17,21,21 105:13,16 106:5,19 108:15 109:20,21 110:7,12 119: 10,22 120:9 122:12 123:10,18 crossings [3] 82:14,16 83:13 current [3] 29:12 47:13 61:20 currently 151 30:12 47:18 48:18 49:2 56:2 cursory [3] 84:7,10 106:14 curve [1] 93:1 customer [2] 28:13,15 customers [7] 28:8,11 37:19 40: 7 77:4 86:21 87:11 cut [1] 18:16

D d-e-p-a-u-l [1] 27:2 d-i-c-k-s-o-n [1] 4:13 d.o.t [1] 4:8 daily [3] 28:4,10 88:1 dangerous (1) 69:9 date [3] 15:1 29:14 61:21 dated [1] 55:16 day 191 28:7,15 29:1 30:4 69:8 87: 10,14 105:6 113:3 day-in [1] 102:16 day-out [1] 102:16 daycare [1] 85:13 dead-ends [1] 23:18 deal [2] 76:17 106:16 dealing (2) 7:22 100:20 deals [1] 76:12 deceleration [1] 101:15. decided [1] 125:6 decision [2] 84:11 105:1 definitely (1141:3 definition @ 41:2 78:8 definitions [1] 76:17 degree [1] 57:9 delay (1) 104:14 demolished (11112:2 demolition (1) 119:3 department [28] 1:7 2:11 4:5 5:2, 6 13:6,17 14:15 15:5 34:4 48:16, 19 52:3 58:11,18,22 59:16,22 60: 2,4,8,15 63:13,14,15 80:7 117: 10 119:14 departments (1) 115:19 depaul (9) 5:12 26:5,6,13 27:1 31:3 35:1 36:7 46:21 depending (6) 36:8 75:7 81:5 103:13 117:14,15 depends (1) 116:1 deprived [2] 79:17,19 describe (3) 70:20 71:1 83:9 described @ 49:20 67:15 70:22 72:5 74:2,3 description [1] 114:15 descriptors (1) 76:19 design [11] 14:9 33:22 48:7 52: 18 59:19 61:6 89:6 98:3 99:17 102:18 117:13 designated (1) 6:16 designed [2] 67:19 109:13 designing (1) 13:12 designs (1) 102:20 desire (1) 115:15 despite (1) 116:8

destination [2] 66:8 69:11 detail [1] 84:8 determination [2] 53:14 76:1 determine (1) 69:21 determined [1] 32:3 developed [4] 9:17 90:6 115:8, developers [4] 48:14 99:8,13 116:9 development [26] 51:18 53:17 54:1 58:10,18,22 59:8,22 63:14 77:15 79:4,10 89:11,20 90:12 93: 2,4,7 97:22 99:15 113:15,19 114: 19 115:11,21 116:7 developments (1) 121:18 device [1] 73:14 devices [4] 54:11 56:20 100:1,4 dickson [66] 4:13,13 5:12,21 6:6, 7,14 7:15 8:1,5,7,15,21 9:20 15: 10 17:16 19:14 20:2 23:21 24:1 26:3,4,12,20,21 27:4 32:15 33:6, 11,16 34:9,15,21,22 35:22 38:16, 19 39:6 44:2 46:20,22 47:8 51:6. 7 61:8,11,15 75:19 85:2 113:8 118:15 120:11,15 122:1,8 123: 21 124:5,16 125:3,9,15,21 126:2, 4.8.20 dictated (1) 96:18 difference [3] 73:20 74:12 75:3 differences (1) 100:5 different [1] 28:15 difficult [2] 18:8 68:19 difficulty [6] 18:17,20,21 19:18 68:20 72:20 dimensions [2] 45:1 101:9 direct [8] 6:12 10:14 15:22 26:10 47:6 75:20 107:7 127:5 directing [1] 24:8 direction [1] 34:7 directions [2] 18:7 114:6 directly [2] 103:1 126:4 dirksen [2] 2:10 5:7 disagree [1] 122:20 discussed @ 64:15 77:18 93:13 100:18 110:14 119:17 discussion [2] 107:3 123:11 distance [29] 42:14,16 65:8,14 70:5 71:4 72:22 73:5,6 74:5 75: 7,14,15,17 76:7,20 78:14 84:17 85:17 86:19 87:2 88:11 92:22 94: 21 95:3 104:7 106:15.21 111:15 distances (2) 73:12 76:14 distinction (476:5 district [1] 114:9 divided (1) 42:12 division @ 4:20 8:16 63:6,20 64: 2 102:7 docket (1) 4:3 document (4) 6:19 7:21 11:16 61:18 documentation (2) 81:7 121:4 doing [2] 14:19 48:18 dollars [2] 58:1 60:18 done [12] 42:1 50:8,12,15 74:13 87:19 88:2 98:4 103:16 108:11

109:2 112:21

dot (111:11 double [1] 90:7 down [15] 7:6 17:3 18:16 22:7 23: 14 35:11 68:1,14 73:9 77:12 87: 6 104:22 105:1,5 106:5 downtime [2] 104:16 105:14 drive @ 2:5 43:19 50:18 111:1,4, drive-through [2] 52:12,15 driveway [4] 68:5 69:5,12 101:3 driveways [2] 67:22 82:6 dual [4] 64:12 101:6,8 109:22 due 13124:7 125:5 127:2 duly [3] 6:10 26:8 47:4 dupage [21] 58:8,9,10,12,14,21 **59**:4,5,6,15,21 **60**:1,8 **90**:22 **91**:9 93:15,22 94:3 95:1 102:7 123:7 duration [2] 81:3 112:19 during @ 80:9,22 105:9 107:16 108:20 109:1 E

each [6] 29:8 46:5 63:16 73:16 101:7 105:6 earlier [10] 15:15 42:5 49:20 62:3 72:10 81:19 99:19 115:12 118:5, earliest [1] 117:13 early (1) 107:20 ease [1] 39:15 easiest III 72:8 east [46] 2:5 4:21 22:1 23:2 25:6 31:13 32:20 33:7 34:17 52:10 58: 15 65:5,12 66:9 67:3,11 68:3,18 69:6 73:2 74:22 75:17 77:10,13, 15,19 86:1 89:9,11,21 90:21 92: 15 95:11 97:4,7,8,11,13 99:11 115:3,4,4,6,6,13 119:8 eastbound [7] 21:6,11-31:7-35:9 53:6,8 109:3 economic (2) 53:17 63:14 economy [2] 116:1,8 education (1) 82:10 educational (1) 57:8 effect (1) 105:14 egress [5] 32:18,19 79:10 80:8 120:9 eight 12) 28:7 59:1 either [16] 14:4 23:2 24:21 25:5.8 32:19 34:2 37:15 45:6 51:20 53: 3 66:8 67:21 72:15 93:10 117:18 elect [1] 21:21 elm (1) 68:2 elmhurst (1) 49:8 emergency [3] 79:12,13 81:14 employed [3] 47:18,20-58:9 employee [7] 22:1 29:10 48:20, 22 60:7 66:15,22 employees [25] 6:22 18:7 27:21 28:20,21 29:3,6,19 30:5,11 35: 16 36:6 38:6 39:16,16 40:6,11, 17 41:9,20 42:2 66:17 77:3 86: 21 87:11 employment (1158:7) encounter (1195:14 end [5] 30:3 31:9 77:10 107:20 111:16

horses (§ 46:14 horseshoe-shaped (§ 69:5 hotel (2) 69:7 94:16 hour (§) 40:21 41:2 112:19 hours (2) 105:5,9 however (§) 10:1 68:12 81:2 hundred (§) 42:9

icc (4) 70:3 71:2 78:13 104:6 icc's [1] 87:1 idea [2] 97:16 111:12 identification (2) 8:13 61:14 identify [3] 6:18 28:18 61:17 idot [11] 13:15 32:3 33:2,13 34:7 38:22 39:8,10 52:4 56:17 89:4 idot's [6] 33:5 34:6 35:2 55:5 98: 8 103:15 il [1] 2:3 illegal (1) 82:2 illinois [39] 1:7,10,13,14 2:8,11 4: 2,5,5,7,11,22 5:2,6,7 9:14,19 10: 21 11:2 13:5 15:5 17:6 21:18 34: 3 47:16 48:16 50:19 52:2 53:17, 19 54:5.9 69:20 75:21 94:5 100: 1.3 107:19 121:3 imagine 12 41:16.18 immediately (1) 113:15 impact [36] 33:3 48:6 49:22 50:9 52:14 62:8 65:22 66:3.5 70:4.5. 14.18.21 71:2,3,19 72:4,6 74:15 75:3,4,22 76:3 77:2 78:13 80:15 84:4 85:14,17,18 86:6,7 99:13 104:1 106:8 impacted (987:5) impacts (1) 81:22 impatient [1] 82:2 impede (1) 41:15 implementation [2] 64:20 79:22 importantly [1] 30:3 impression (1) 119:15 improbable (1) 42:6 improve [2] 12:22 96:8 improved [2] 13:3 108:19 improvement [12] 9:19 64:12 65: 18 80:1 81:4 91:11 92:21 93:20 96:5.7 99:17 117:17 improvements 19113:3 51:20, 22 52:19 60:19 110:16 116:1,11, 13 inc (1) 16:17 include [3] 81:18 87:10 121:5 including [4] 28:6 52:21 79:20 109:17 incorporated @ 76:3,11,15 77: 8 78:4.8.12.14 increase [4] 13:1 35:13 81:21 103:12 increases (1166:6 incur (1171:5 indicate [2] 32:11 64:22 indicated @ 22:17 64:10 88:19 95:22 104:2 106:13 107:2,10 109:6 indicating [1] 123:9 indication (1) 102:17

individuals [2] 24:20 36:13 inducement (1) 29:4 industrial (19) 74:19 77:15 78:20 83:18 84:8 86:13,20 88:14 106: 16 109:16 110:11 112:5.6 113: 19.20 114:10 117:3 118:18 119: industries (1) 110:7 industry (1) 27:20 influence [2] 71:12 116:17 information [4] 31:1 55:13,17,17 informed (1) 29:22 infrastructure @ 116:11 ingress @ 32:18,20 79:9 80:8 81:13 120:9 ingress/egress [1] 120:1 initially (1) 60:9 injury [1] 53:21 input [2] 63:2 107:7 installation 51 53:13 54:5 56:22 68:16 100:16 installed 2 91:13 100:9 instead [1] 69:1 intending (1) 74:4 intentionally (1) 102:12 interconnect (1) 52:21 intermittent (1) 81:5 interpretation [1] 119:15 interrupt [4] 71:8 intersect (1) 111:1 intersection [90] 9:18 12:17 13: 13 14:6.12.17 15:8 22:6 23:11 30:10 31:15 36:18 38:12 39:9 40: 13,16 44:1,3 48:7 50:21 51:11. 16 52:18 53:15.20 54:4.19 56:8 63:10 64:3,11,14,20 65:7,16,18, 20 67:6,7 68:17 69:4 72:16,19, 22 73:5,11 75:2 80:1 81:4 82:1 83:20 85:20 86:4 89:6.7 90:18 91:7,12 92:4,7,9 94:7,15 95:3,5, 12,19 96:6,8 97:5 98:17 99:17 100:21 101:8,12,21,22 102:1,18 104:13 105:20 107:12,14 108:19 110:17 111:3,7 115:7 117:7,17 intersections [3] 94:8,22 109:12 intersects (1) 67:5 introduced (1) 11:15 investigate [2] 89:20 115:20 involved [2] 82:15 98:11 involvement [2] 70:2 99:7 iowa [2] 57:12.14 isn't (2) 34:6 67:10 issue [3] 62:17 88:4 106:17 issued (1) 52:5 issues [3] 15:21,21 64:16 itself (9) 54:20 67:20 86:17 89:11 92:16 101:10 106:5 114:20 121: J

ieff (115:5

jr (1) 2:2

jeopardy [1] 103:20

john 151 3:4 4:15 5:19 6:8 49:11

judge [83] 4:1,18 5:3,8,13,16 7:6,

jerry [1] 121:17

job [4] 57:14,15

21 8:3,6,7,10,14,20 10:4,6,9 15: 11 16:7,20 17:22 19:16 20:4,7,9, 13,17 23:20,22 24:4 26:2,18 27: 3 32:14 33:4,7,15 34:5,14,19 36: 1 39:13,19 40:3 42:20 43:8,16, 18 44:3 46:17,19,21 51:5 61:10 85:4 112:10 113:7 117:20 118: 12 120:12,20 121:7,13 122:4 123:19 124:1,6,12,14,22 125:4,6, 8,14,18,22 126:3,6,10,14,16,18 127:1 july [4] 126:20,21 127:2,3

june (3) 125:19,22 127:2 june (3) 125:19,22 127:2 jurisdiction (4) 14:2 33:5 98:3

121:6

kane [29] 4:10 8:15,17 9:5,8,10, 15 11:17,19 13:16 14:15 15:5 34: 3 46:7 58:15,16 63:5,8,20 64:2 80:7 95:1 96:3 97:1 98:8 103:17 107:8 108:3 117:9

K

kautz [32] 52:17,19,22 53:2,3,8, 10,11 54:2,21 58:17 67:3,4 68: 14,17 73:2,2 77:13,15 90:14 91: 8,12,17 92:8,9,15,20 93:16,19 113:21 114:2 115:12

kautz/route (1) 115:7 keep (1) 124:14

kind @ 46:1 68:7 69:15 74:18 81: 12 106:18 109:14 113:13 kirk [210] 4:8 6:22,22 7:14 8:19 9:

12,16,19 11:17 12:17 13:2,20 14:

7,18 15:8 16:16 17:10 18:9 19:6

21:5,7,9,10,10,13,20 **22**:5,6,16,

18,18,21,22 23:3,4,11,12,14 24:

17 25:15,16 29:20 30:7,12 31:6,

8,9,13,16,17,19,22 32:5,13,19 33:8,14 34:6,13,16,18 35:4,11 36:18 38:8,12,21 39:1 42:8,8 43: 11 44:4,18 45:9,15,16 46:6 50: 10,20 51:11 52:12 54:1,2 55:6,9, 20 56:3,6,10 57:5 62:10,11,19 63:10 64:4,16,17,18,21 65:4,5,7,

68:3,10,21 69:17 71:6,7,11,11, 12.16,17 72:1,2,12,16 73:1,1,3,4, 4,8,10,11,22 74:5,20,21 75:1,6, 14 77:19 79:16,17,21 80:1,16,22 82:12 83:8,12,12,14,15,16,19,20 84:2,16 85:20 86:2 87:15 89:1,1, 8,11,21 93:11 94:14 95:13,15 96: 15,16 97:3,7,13 98:17 99:11 100:

10,10,15,17,17,19 66:13 67:5,8

19 101:8,14,16,17 102:10 107:4, 12,13 108:11,12,21,22 109:4,6, 12,19,21 110:19,22 113:10,16

114:2,13,18,20,22 115:13 117:2, 7 120:8,10 kirk/route 13 86:4 95:5 107:14

knowledge @ 7:3 14:16 15:6 30:11 55:7,11 70:13 95:2

_L

labeled (*116:14 lack (*168:9 laid (*132:10 land (*146:2,3 93:6 113:13 114: 12,13,14,17 116:10 landing (1) 90:22 lane [21] 21:4,6,8,22 23:5,15 24: 11,15 25:9,9 31:8 43:10,12 44: 20,21 51:22 53:6,9 73:9,10 101: 20 lanes [6] 13:1 22:4 53:11 64:12, 13 101:7

language (1) 76:6 large (4) 43:14 51:1,2 113:4 last (6) 5:17 12:1 26:22 70:11,16 125:11

later (1) 112:22 lay (1) 6:1 lays (1) 54:11

lead (2) 63:10 96:4 least (10) 29:1,14 30:6 56:3 80:14, 19 82:20 119:4 120:4,6

leave (6) 36:20 37:2,16 41:12 74: 4 82:7 leaving [10] 30:4 40:18 58:5 59:

21 60:21 66:10 72:11 74:19 75:5 104:19

left [14] 22:7,8 30:8 31:10,17 32:1 60:10 65:11,12 67:6 69:1 72:21 74:22 82:2

left-hand [10] 21:12,14 22:4 23:6, 6,7 32:3,12 43:12 108:12 left-turn [8] 53:6 64:12 68:20 101:7.9,10.15,20

length @ 37:9 66:6 71:4 72:6 82: 7 86:19

less 19 18:21 19:2 38:13 92:13, 16 94:18 95:3 100:6 106:21 letter 19 63:5 78:12 107:10 123:

7 124:10 liberty [1] 2:5 license [1] 2:14 lieu [1] 25:15

life [1] 90:4 light [17] 21:18 23:4,6 31:16,17 38:11,20 39:1,4,9,15,20 40:1 42: 4 54:3 55:4 88:8

lights (2) 42:11 45:12 likely (6) 23:10 64:18 89:8 90:7 96:11 115:14

limit [2] 32:18 65:1 limitation (1) 65:13 limitations (1) 89:2

limited (14) 34:12 35:2 47:21 48: 21 49:17 50:3 64:18 66:14 72:17

80:2,16 86:15,17 121:5 limits (4) 65:8 92:14 101:14,20 line (6) 12:10 23:19 58:17 88:22

line © 12:10 23:19 58:17 88:22 101:13,13 lines © 28:6

linneman (1) 121:17 literally (3) 94:18 96:19 123:8 little (7) 36:19 63:17 81:18 94:14 115:2,9 125:19 live (10) 11:12 17:8 29:11 30:6,18,

117:3 19:11 30:6, 13:19 35:20 68:13 106:12 121:6

lives @ 18:15,19,19 living @ 42:10

located @ 27:12,14 46:2 66:1 76:2,22

individually (163:17

opinions (1) 15:20 opportunities [3] 19:3 67:12,21 opportunity [4] 18:22 29:18 122: oppose [2] 7:12 16:16 opposed @ 19:5 29:21 38:8 40: 8 107:18 opposes [1] 9:11 opposition [1] 30:1 option [3] 22:3 23:13 29:9 order (4) 4:6 82:6 88:12 105:15 organization (1) 57:21 organized [2] 89:20 115:20 other (23) 5:9 6:3 12:20,20 19:7, 21,22 33:21 40:15 74:15,16 81:9 15 85:2 93:3,5,11 110:5 115:22 120:11,12,16 126:7 otherwise #174:9 out [18] 29:8 36:13,22 40:2 54:11 65:11 67:6 68:5 69:5 72:19,21 86:2,11 87:9,13 90:3 123:17 125: outlined [2] 78:13,14 over [13] 25:19 31:10,14 41:21 42:15 45:17,19 67:2,4 72:22 73: 12 91:22 92:2 over-the-road (1) 106:15 overruled [1] 34:19 own [6] 14:5 37:20 47:19,19,22 87.2 owned [1] 47:22 owner [2] 49:1 69:14 owners [5] 80:9 89:19 98:11 99: 8 115:20 owns (1) 91:1

P

pacific [14] 4:3,17 7:13 9:13 52: 22 55:14 63:1 70:9,13 81:8 83:6 119:21 122:9,13 pacific's [1] 104:16 package (1163:1 packaging [1] 27:19 packet [1] 16:13 page [3] 11:9 20:19 30:22 pages (11124:15 parallel (1) 21:10 parameters [1] 98:4 parcel (1137:8 parcels (1198:12 pardon [1] 114:5 park (2) 79:4 113:20 parkway [4] 2:10 5:7 35:10 93: part [17] 46:7 53:22 55:5,14,21 62:12 65:17 70:1 93:20 97:12 98: 7,8,9,10,16,20 117:3 participation [1] 99:7 particular [16] 10:20 13:11 22:15 66:10 71:7 72:1 73:18 82:7.18 83:15 88:4 100:14 101:22 102: 18 103:15 120:3 particularly [5] 41:1 88:14 96:17 110:15 116:15 passed [3] 7:9 12:5 29:8 passing [2] 54:20 105:16 past [7] 22:12 72:13 74:4 93:14

109:7 110:14 119:17 path (1) 73:19 pattern (1141:3 pay [1] 99:13 people [10] 6:22 11:5,10 17:4,8 19:18 21:1 23:10 116:4 121:5 per (1) 113:3 percent @ 19:2 103:10,12 104: 18 105:2.4 percentage [1] 103:4 perform [3] 70:14,18 104:1 performed @ 51:14 53:19 59:4. 10 70:20 88:19 112:18 118:9 perhaps [2] 86:2 89:10 period (1) 105:17 permanent (2) 80:18 81:3 permit [3] 52:4 100:8 110:6 permitted (1) 102:5 person [6] 17:11 18:15,18,20 22: 2 72:10 personal @ 40:12 53:20 55:20 62:15 87:12 112:15 personally [2] 35:8 41:12 personnel (2) 36:16 60:15 petition (27) 4:6 6:20 7:2,9,11,16 **8:14 10:16,20,22 11:4,4 16:15** 28:19 29:4,7,8,15,18 55:15 63:1, 4 81:9 82:20 121:1 122:11,15 petitioning 1/1 119:21 phase (16) 12:19 13:12,15,16 32: 17 63:8 64:3,7,10 91:10 96:4 98: 20 100:17 102:18,20 103:2 photograph [1] 43:5 phrasing [983:9 physically [3] 87:14 99:10 106:4 pick (1194:3 picked [1] 11:22 piers (1196:19 pillsbury [1] 90:18 place [7] 22:12 72:14 73:7 81:6 89:22 98:18 117:11 places (1) 19:22 placing (1) 39:1 plan @ 9:17 12:15 14:11,11 35:2 91:6 93:15 98:16 planned (4 39:20,22 93:3 117:6 planner (4) 65:22 79:1 98:1,1 planners [4] 79:3 80:6 99:1,1 planning [16] 47:17 49:21 50:9 57:11,16,20,21 58:6,9 61:4 63: 15 79:8,10 93:22 98:14 110:4 plans [19] 14:21,22 15:7 32:10, 11,17 33:22 34:11 48:8 52:21 58: 3 59:7,9 60:9 77:17 89:16 91:16 93:9 116:9 plant [2] 45:20 90:18 play [1] 95:8 pleadings (1) 126:7 please @ 4:12 5:14 6:3 7:5 26: 22 47:9 plenty [1] 126:17 point [31] 5:21 12:20 13:19 14:14 18:12,13 23:1 27:22 41:19 60:17 **74:8 79:2 83:22 86:15,16 89:10** 99:16 103:22 104:10,10 108:9

111:11 114:22 118:18 120:1,3,8,

15,18 122:9,16 points [8] 79:9,14,17,19 81:13 84:13,14 110:10 political [476:12 position (4) 26:16 27:5 50:6 63:7 positioning (1) 73:14 possibilities @ 89:21 110:13 115:21 possibility [7] 81:1 93:8 97:9 104:15 108:14.17 118:17 possible [4] 67:10 74:14 99:17 111:12 possibly (1) 13:1 potential [12] 34:11 38:20 55:4 62:9 63:3 72:20 80:21 81:10,22 97:13 104:12 110:9 practical [2] 111:13 118:21 practice [2] 79:8 101:18 prairie (1) 90:22 precise (1) 109:5 prefer (1) 40:9 preliminary [2] 14:21,22 premature [1] 82:21 preparation [3] 52:20 58:2 59:6 prepare [1] 48:7 prepared [6] 10:20,22 14:4 56:7 59:5,14 preparing [1] 116:9 presence [1] 96:14 present 6 55:3 70:8 99:11 103: 18 119:20 122:14 presented [2] 121:1 123:3 presently [2] 49:7 110:21 president [2] 27:7,8 presume [1] 112:7 pretty [6] 73:15 87:21 88:3 105:3 107:22 118:7 previous [3] 12:14 62:22 125:12 previously [6] 6:4,16 8:8 70:17 78:6 86:14 primarily (5) 48:14 58:4 79:11 83:17 112:21 principal (2) 59:3 79:11 principles [4] 89:6 prior [1] 68:16 private [15] 46:9 48:14 50:17 67: 22 68:4 69:12 82:5 98:10,11 99: 6 111:21 112:5,5,7 116:12 probability (1) 79:22 probably [12] 64:13 70:22 76:18 88:3,20 91:4 96:10 97:1 99:9 105:15 120:4.7 problem [4] 95:20 problems [4] 63:4 69:13 72:21 proceed [4] 21:6.21 22:7 72:3 proceeding [5] 23:5,14 33:1 116: 11.12 process [4] 5:18 14:19 99:15 109:6 products [1] 37:11 professional 19147:15 57:14 61: 3 78:22 82:11 profile [2] 96:16,21 programming (4 57:22 programs [1] 60:10

prohibit [2] 34:15,17 prohibiting [1] 69:8 project @ 50:7 51:19 52:9,17 53: 16 64:9 92:14 96:4 projects [6] 48:9.10 50:15 52:7 82:16 103:16 pronounced [1] 71:13 proper [2] 81:19 114:8 properties (1) 118:19 property [17] 19:3 23:19 30:4 46: 9 76:2,22 80:9 89:19 98:3,5,11 99:7 111:22 115:20 118:18 119: 6 123:9 proposal (1) 100:17 proposed [2] 13:3 123:13 prospective [1] 5:10 provide [5] 49:5 77:1 79:3 99:14 122:17 provided [2] 55:14 124:20 provides (1) 105:18 provision [1] 79:11 provisions (1) 100:8 proximity [6] 42:7 65:13 71:11, 16 72:1 83:19 prudent @ 98:2,7,8,8,9,16 public @ 4:8 7:13 48:12 63:13 68:8 81:16 112:7 119:13 purchase [2] 99:10 119:6 purchasing [1] 116:10 purpose [4] 7:2,11 109:10 125:9 purposes (1) 44:2 put 5 34:1 98:18 101:6 120:22 124:22 Q

question [19] 15:14 17:9,16,21 24:2 32:8 33:9 34:5 35:1 39:13, 14 44:6 55:22 88:16 101:2 102: 22 108:18 116:22 119:10 questioning [3] 12:11 24:3 118: 16 questions [16] 9:21 16:5,9,21 20: 12,14 25:22 35:22 39:6 42:19 46: 15,18 85:3 117:19 120:11,12 queues [1] 95:18 quick [1] 106:18 quickly [1] 84:17 quite [1] 86:22

quarter [3] 50:21 94:18 96:19

R

radius (1) 43:14 radiuses [1] 45:6 railroad [59] 4:4,17,20 7:13 9:13 25:10,13,17 36:18 40:8,9,13 41: 11,21 42:15,16 45:8,8,15,16,17 **46**:1,5 **50**:13 **52**:21,22 **55**:14 **62**: 9 63:1 66:1,2 68:10 71:14,15 73: 21 77:12,20 78:2,5,21 82:15 85: 11 87:20 91:8,19,21 92:2,10 110: 1,8 111:22 112:3,4 114:21 118: 10 119:11 122:11,22 123:7 railway [1] 79:15 raising [1] 108:9 randall [2] 30:19,20 range [1] 91:4 rather [1] 41:20

shaded [1] 23:19 shall (1132:3 shifts (1) 36:10 shop (1) 37:12 shopping [2] 50:16 79:5 short [3] 112:18 121:16 124:3 short-term [1] 87:22 shorten (1) 82:6 shortens (1) 65:8 shorter [1] 105:12 shortfalls (1) 103:21 show [5] 5:8 51:1 72:8 123:13,16 showing [2] 8:22 61:16 shown (1) 78:3 shows [2] 20:21 121:4 shumate [46] 2:2 4:16,16 5:18 10:5,7,10,13 15:12,13 16:5,18 24:2,7 25:22 32:7 33:19 36:1,2,5 38:17 39:3,10,14,21 40:4,5 42: **18 85**:4,5,8 **112**:9 **115**:3 **120**:21 121:15 122:2,5,20 123:22 124:4, 9,13,17 126:13,15,21 sic [1] 118:8 side (7146:5,7,9 54:20 77:16 113: 16.17 sight [4] 65:8,14 89:2 92:22 sightline (1192:19 sightlines @ 12:22 95:4,8,21 96: 8 100:11 sian (2) 29:4.9 signal [20] 30:9 41:10,11 48:6,8 50:19 52:20 53:12,15,18 54:14 **57:1 88:19 95:17 97:5 100:9.16.** 21.22 101:5 signalization [3] 54:7 56:6 95:7 signalized [10] 22:6 51:11 79:20 84:14 89:7 94:8,19 95:2 101:19 111:6 signals [6] 54:6 68:17 88:17 89: 3 95:15 signatures 11129:17 signed (3) 11:4,12 17:4 significant [6] 84:20 85:14.17 86:6 87:1 90:13 significantly (1) 66:6 signing [1] 29:14 signs (2) 45:10 69:7 similar (3) 49:18 81:15 108:7 simply (1) 111:11 simultaneous (1) 126:1 since [2] 101:12 121:19 siphon (1) 107;5 sir [3] 99:4 102:14 126:10 sit [2] 7:4 119:20 site [11] 18:17,20 19:19 58:20 59: 9 66:9,10 69:9 75:17 79:13 82:8 situation (1) 69:15 situations (1) 79:13 six (1) 87:7 slight (1165:6 slim [1] 97:1 slowed [1] 116:7 somebody (1) 76:12 somebody's [2] 97:16,16 someone's [1] 71:19

sorry [12] 8:1 10:5 16:8 20:5,9 21: 18 33:11 43:15 51:4 67:3 114:5 125-7 source (1) 103:13 south [40] 2:10 5:6 19:6 21:4,22 23:5 24:10 25:6,8 30:6 31:13 35: 10,11,20 38:8 43:11 46:1,5 66: 10 67:7 71:14 73:4,8 74:20 75:6 77:16.19 84:6,12,19 85:10,22 93: 16 96:17 99:8 109:19 110:1 113: 16 115:12 119:8 southbound [2] 31:17 68:18 southeast [1] 46:9 southern [1] 44:13 southwest [7] 21:4 24:11 30:19 31:7 44:20,21 46:7 spacing [1] 88:22 span (2) 91:19 96:19 speaking [3] 105:7,21 106:3 special (1) 109:7 specialize [1] 47:16 specific [3] 59:9 94:21 100:12 specifically 6 56:10 64:15 66: 12 84:1 104:3,22 specifics [1] 14:10 speculation [1] 33:20 speed [1] 82:4 speeds [1] 69:12 spell [2] 26:22 47:10 spelling [1] 26:19 spend [1] 62:18 sphere [2] 114:17 116:16 spoke [3] 42:4 63:8 64:8 springfield [4] 2:8 4:22 5:7 126: spur (4) 111:22 112:3,4 119:11 st [1] 17:7 stack (1195:19 staff [8] 4:19 12:7 14:5 60:16 63: 8 77:18 80:6.12 stand 19 5:13 standard [7] 78:14,16,17,18,19 79:2 106:22 standpoint (5) 15:16 40:10 80: 14 85:19 98:6 stands (1199:21 state [17] 19:11.13 21:17 22:7 23: 16 40:14 47:9,16 48:13,15 53:16, 19 54:4,9 90:2 116:5 121:3 state's [2] 92:22 103:21 stated [6] 39:17 52:6 86:14 96:3 109:9 115:22 statement [1] 124:20 states (1) 76:1 status [1] 112:8 steps [4] 13:19 still [18] 6:4 18:7.10 34:5 45:14 77:1 84:16 88:3 92:4 95:8.12 109:22 110:7 116:9,10,10,12,18 stipulation [2] 123:2,2 stone [1] 18:11 stop [1] 41:19 stopped [3] 41:9 95:15,16 storage [2] 101:10,15 street [4] 21:17 23:16 54:21 94:

streets [3] 67:22 68:2 94:12 strictly [1] 119:15 strike [1] 56:14 structure [3] 96:14,18,22 studies [14] 48:6,7,8 49:22 50:9 **59**:8,9,11,12 **61**:5 **74**:16 **103**:2 104:2 118:5 study [12] 50:17 52:14,18 56:7 63:9 64:10 70:14,18,21 88:20 91: 10 106:8 sturm (3) 121:16 122:3 123:19 subdivision [3] 1:12 4:9 79:7 subject [2] 50:14 77:21 submit [2] 126:2,4 submitted [3] 16:16 81:8 126:12 substantially (1) 96:22 suburban [5] 77:7,9 78:11 89:14 117:4 sufficient (2) 99:9 109:14 sullivan (1) 2:13 summation 11 125:1 superintendent (4) 60:10,11,14 102:6 supervisor (1) 15:15 support [4] 53:12 81:8 122:15 123:9 supported [1] 28:20 supporting [1] 8:18 surrogate [2] 77:7,8 surrounding [3] 19:8 62:20 86: survey [1] 104:16 sustained (4) 15:11 20:4,10 40:3 sworn [7] 5:11,13,15 6:4,10 26:8 47:4 sycamore (2) 17:5 29:12 system [3] 59:20 73:14 86:16 t-intersection (1) 102:3

t01-0040 (1)4:3 talked [2] 41:7 108:13 taper [2] 101:11.15 tate [82] 4:1.18 5:3.8.13.16 7:6.21 8:3,6,7,10,14,20 10:4,6,9 15:11 16:7,20 17:22 19:16 20:4,7,9,13, 17 23:20,22 24:4 26:2,18 27:3 32:14 33:4,7,15 34:5,14,19 36:1 39:13,19 40:3 42:20 43:8,16,18 46:17.19.21 51:5 61:10 85:4 112: 10 113:7 117:20 118:12 120:12, 20 121:7,13 122:4 123:19 124:1, 6,12,14,22 125:4,6,8,14,18,22 126:3,6,10,14,16,18 127:1 technical [1] 78:7 telephonically (1) 2:7 temporary [2] 107:13 109:8 ten @ 28:7 91:5 124:14 tendered [1] 63:19 tens (4) 60:18 term [2] 114:21 115:10 termed [1] 24:22 terminology [1] 77:7 terms 5 17:9 74:16 95:20 105: 14 114:8 testified [13] 6:11 15:15 19:17 106:1

26:9 38:5,17 40:17 47:5 62:3,14

118:6,20 123:19 testify [3] 12:4,9 41:13 testimony [11] 15:22 32:16.21 33:22 34:9 39:3,8 55:3 70:8 83: 5 127:4 thanks [1] 42:18 there's [42] 17:4 19:19,21 24:15 25:19 30:15 32:9,10 33:21 36:15 41:2,5,17 45:11,12 76:1 79:21 80:21 81:1 86:10 88:6 90:13.21 93:2.6 94:7.13.19 99:9 102:2.17 104:12 110:9,9 113:17,19 114:9, 14.16.21 115:1 119:10 therefore [2] 9:10 58:15 third (2) 52:16,17 though (1123:13 thousand [2] 69:3 111:18 three [5] 15:3 50:15 52:6 60:5 121:18 threshold (1) 54:12 tight (1) 103:11 timing (1) 99:16 title [1] 15:17 today [6] 21:3 41:7,21 49:14 91: 17 119:20 today's [1] 61:20 together [2] 42:11 94:9 top [1] 106:6 total @ 101:14 touched [2] 81:12,18 touching [1] 85:9 toward (1) 123:1 town [1] 94:3 township [30] 4:4,10,14 5:19,22 7:18 8:11 12:16 13:21 14:1,4,13 15:4,16 19:8,12,22 34:3 49:9,10 58:13,16 62:4 85:12 90:2 99:1 123:1,5,12,17 track [4] 41:6 73:21 112:5,5 tracked [1] 72:22 tracks [30] 21:13 25:10,13,17,19 31:10,14 36:18 40:8,9 42:16 45: 15,16,17 46:1,5 66:1,2 73:9 81: 20 83:19 85:11,22 86:13 91:8,21 92:5 110:1,8 117:4 tractor-trailer [2] 43:18 109:18 tractor-trailers (1) 37:9 traffic 1971 15:21 22:5 38:11,20 39:1,4,8,15,20 40:1 41:3,10 42:4. 11 47:16 48:6,8,8 49:21 50:8,9, 16 **51**:9,17 **52**:14,20 **53**:12,15 **54**: 3,6,10,13,16,18,19 55:4,8,15,20 **56**:2,4,5,9,20,22 **60**:9 **61**:4,5 **62**: 10,15,19 65:9,10,22 67:18 68:16, 17 75:4 79:1,2 80:15 82:4 85:21 **87**:8,13,14,18,21 **88**:6,7,8,8,10 89:5 93:22 95:11.14 98:6 100:1. 3.9.10.16 101:4 102:11.13 107:6 108:10.14 109:1,3,13,15 110:3 112:17 118:5,8 trailers [1] 109:17 train 19130:15 41:9,16,18 104:13 105:14,16,20 106:4 training (1) 82:10 trains @ 41:6,14 105:9,11,12

sometimes [1] 28:14

PAGES 291 - 408

:	SHEET 1								
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				IND	ΕX	Re-	Re-	Ву
2			2	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross		cross	Examiner
3	IN THE MATTER OF:	}	3	Thomas Andryuk	306	326	349	355	363
4	UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,)	4	Rick Sturm	365	347 381			
5	vs.	No. T01-0040	5	Dick Untch	384	301			400
6	TOWNSHIP OF GENEVA, STATE OF ILLINOIS and ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		6	Dick oncen	384				400
7			7	Е	хнт	вітя	5		
8	Petition for an order of the Illinois Commerce Commission for the closure of Old Kirk Road,	† - 	8	Number	For I	dentifi	ication	În	Evidence
9 10	public grade crossing DOT #174 986H at Milepost 33.75 on the Geneva Subdivision, City	 	9 10	Petitioner's No. 3		294	Ł		405
11	of Geneva, Geneva Township, Kane) County, Illinois		11	Petitioner's No. 4		294	i		405
12	Chicago, Illino	12	Petitioner's No. 5		294	Ļ			
13	January 28, 2004 Met pursuant to notice at 9:00 a.m.			Petitioner's					
14				No. 6		294	ŀ		
15	BEFORE:		-15	Petitioner's No. 7		294	Į.		
16	MS. JUNE B. TATE, Administrative	Law Judge.	16	City's					
17	APPEARANCES:	4	17	No. 1		337	•		405
18	MR. MACK H. SHUMATE, JR. 101 North Wacker Drive, Suite 192		18						
19	Chicago, Illinois 60606	·U	19						
20	Appearing for Respondent;		20						
21	BOND DICKSON & ASSOCIATES, by MS. MARY DICKSON		21						
22	203 East Liberty Drive Wheaton, Illinois 60187, Appearing for the Applicant;		22						•
	12 2 12								
<u> </u>		291	JL						293

			. ——	
1	MR. ROBERT BERRY			
2	527 East Capitol Avenue Springfield, Illinois 62701		,	/therease Babibian auto
3	Appearing for Staff		1	(Whereupon, Petitioner's
4	(via satellite)		2	Exhibit Nos. 3-7 were
5			3	marked for identification
6			1 4	as of this date.)
7			5	JUDGE TATE: In accordance with the rules and
8			6	regulations of the Illinois Commerce Commission,
9			7	I now call, Docket T01-0040, Union Pacific
	2		8	Railroad Company versus Township of Geneva, State
10			9	of Illinois and Illinois Department of
11			10	Transportation, petition for an order of the
12			11	Illinois Commerce Commission for the closure of
13			12	Old Kirk Road, public grade crossing DOT
14			13	No. 174 986H at Milepost 33.75 on the Geneva
15			14	Subdivision, City of Geneva, Geneva Township,
16			15	Kane County, Illinois.
17			16	Your appearances, please.
18		- 1	17	MR. SHUMATE: My name is Mack Shumate,
19			18	S-h-u-m-a-t-e, I'm an attorney with the Union
20			19	Pacific Railroad Company, 101 North Wacker Drive,
21		· [20	Suite 1920, Chicago, Illinois 60606, and I'll
22	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Tracy L. Ross, CSR	ļ	21	have a brief opening statement.
			22	MS. DICKSON: Mary Dickson, D-i-c-k-s-o-n,
			24	10. Dickson: Mary Dickson, D-1-C-k-5-0-11,
		1		254
		292		

SHEET 2

10.

l21

attempted to do was provide that documentation in the form of written testimony. Objections were made to that in writing by the Township.

However, the Railroad believed then and it still believes that this is definitely relevant to the subject matter of closing this crossing. And rather than ending this particular proceeding with the record that has already been produced, I believe that the information is relevant, especially in light of the fact that it is a fact that the City of Geneva is -- would be a proper party -- a proper party, not the only, but a proper party in the case in that the crossing itself is in the City of Geneva.

And that, I believe the record should be supplemented with the additional facts that have come to light after the original hearing was marked heard and taken.

MS. DICKSON: May I make a short response? JUDGE TATE: Yes, you may.

MS. DICKSON: Again, that is not what the petition for rehearing asks for. It does not ask

City of Geneva, this petition has been on file now for almost -- well, more than three years and to discover just now that this railway right-of-way may have some -- the City of Geneva may have some evidence or some interest in this proceeding when the matter has been pending, and I believe the City of Geneva has had knowledge that the proceedings have been pending since 2001. It doesn't appear to me, at least, to be a proper basis to allow new evidence to come before this proceeding at this time.

MR. SHUMATE: If I may reply to that, your Honor.

Attached to our request for rehearing and the documentation that was filed at the close of evidence when we briefed this matter was additional evidence which we were led to believe at the close of the hearing we were allowed to put in, which the Township in its replies to the brief stated that we were not permitted to do that.

And it was our clear understanding at

to supplement the record in any way with any new evidence and it was not a claim of new evidence that the ICC issued its order allowing rehearing to be granted.

Further, Mr. Shumate indicates now what he wants to do is just add to the record those — that information or evidence from Mr. Sturm that your Honor would not allow to be supplemented at the last hearing and yet this morning he indicates that his two witnesses will be Dick Untch from the City of Geneva and Tom Andryuk from the UP.

Neither of these witnesses were witnesses brought to the attention of your Honor at the previous hearing nor were they witnesses that were added within the UP's request for rehearing. Rather, if Mr. Shumate believes that Mr. Sturm should testify, again, that would be over our objection and over the clear ruling of the Court at the last hearing.

As to the UP's new found knowledge that this crossing may be within the purview of the

the close of the last hearing on this case that any additional information would be submitted in writing to be placed on the record. So we did supplement the record after the close of the testimony, we did produce additional information -- fact information relevant to this case and that is -- I think it's a mischaracterization to say that the only reason for our request for a rehearing was -- excuse me, that a reason for the request for rehearing -- strike that.

That we would not want to put additional information in. We did try to put additional information in at the close of the hearing because Rick Sturm was not allowed to continue with verbal testimony and we were told that any additional information should be submitted to this hearing body in the form of writing and additional facts were attached to the filings we made.

And we would like to supplement those facts, additionally, as more and more information

- Q. And what is your current job title?
- A. Manager of field engineering.
- Q. So you were in the engineering department at the Union Pacific Railroad?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Does the territory for which you are responsible include the location of both Kirk Road and Old Kirk Road, which is the subject of today's hearing?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. What are your current duties and responsibilities?
- A. I'm primarily responsible for projects that the Railroad is involved with or involved in of a public nature, so anything that is presented in public works.
- Q. Any crossing closure or modification of a closed -- a crossing would be a public works project?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Have you had an opportunity to visit the site which is the subject of today's hearing?

Q. Approximately how long is that video?

A. Ten minutes.

б

- Q. Do you have it with you today?
- A. Yes, I do.

MR. SHUMATE: Your Honor, we would like to show this video that Mr. Andryuk made. He can narrate for the Court and also for the Illinois Commerce Commission. It shows the area that we've talked about for several years now and we haven't had much in the way of prints, but it does show what the area looks like. We'd like to show that if we could.

JUDGE TATE: Proceed.

(A videotape was played.)

THE COURT: Is there speech on the video?

16 THE WITNESS: Yes there is, Judge.

MR. SHUMATE: There is. We can take it off and he could narrate it, if you'd like it that way.

JUDGE TATE: The court reporter can't take down both.

MR. SHUMATE: Well, then what we should do is

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And when was the last time you visited that site?
- A. I drove over the grade separation yesterday. I did a more thorough -- on-site review was done approximately two weeks ago.
- Q. Did you make a record of your last visit to the site and the surrounding area?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you have a self-guided tour? How did you record it?
- A. The last review was a walking review wheeling off the distances of the various lengths of the intersections and the adverse distance if the Old Kirk Crossing was closed, so using a wheeled calibration device.
- Q. And this record or tour of the area, how did you make -- did you make a recording of it?
- A. The visit prior to that, we made a video recording of it.
 - Q. And did you make that video recording?
 - A. Yes, I did.

turn the voice off on that and he can dictate what he sees and we'll submit everything offered into evidence.

THE WITNESS: This is about 25 feet south and this is 25 feet south of the crossing. The car going by is Roosevelt Road. And then just taking, basically, a 365-degree pan clock-wise.

- Q. What direction is that?
- A. This would be facing east, now southeast, just giving a general lay of the land. This is south on Old Kirk Road and there is a grade separation at Kirk Road to the west about 6 or 700 feet down the track. And there are the industries --
 - Q. Speak up louder.
- A. Those are the industrial development that are in the northwest quadrant of the crossing area and then back to the other perspective.

This next sequence -- driving north on Roosevelt Road, the traffic lights ahead are on the Kirk Road intersection and Roosevelt Road and now we'll be turning onto Old Kirk Road headed

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

the west side and that's looking eastward down Cherry Lane where -- there's a lot of traffic as it exits. The stadium events have been going down there and using Old Kirk Road as an access to the -- to Roosevelt Road.

- Q. Now, you referenced in that video two welding facilities. The one welding facility had indicated that they wanted to preserve access -- Mr. Andryuk, on the video, you said at the end of Reed Road there was a welding supply outfit industry?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And is that the welding supply company that had indicated that it had trucks that had used Roosevelt Road both east and west in order to --
- 17 MR. BERRY: Excuse me. I can't hear any 18 sound.
- 19 JUDGE TATE: Oh, I'm sorry. Now can you hear 20 us?
- 21 MR. BERRY: I can.
- 22 MR. SHUMATE: Can you hear me now?

yesterday, it is my understanding that that is not a dedicated road.

- Q. Currently it appears to be a private road; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So there -- it would be in the nature of a driveway that would allow them access to Old Kirk Road; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And, now, when you were on that -- I'll call it "Reed Road," you did go underneath the Kirk Road overpass; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

315

- Q. Do you know approximately what that clearance is there?
 - A. I would have to guess.
- Q. Don't guess, then. It was -- it was adequate for your truck?
 - A. More than adequate. We were driving a three-quarter ton pickup and there was ample clearance for that size truck as well as larger vehicles.

317

MR. BERRY: That's fine.

MR. SHUMATE: I'll redo the question.

BY MR. SHUMATE:

- Q. Mr. Andryuk, on the video after you went on Reed Road and you went underneath Kirk Road, underneath the bridge there was a welding supply industry; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Is that one of the welding supply companies that indicated it desired to maintain access to Roosevelt Road both in an east and westerly direction?
 - A. That is my understanding, yes.
- Q. And currently, can they make left- and right-hand turns at the intersection of Old Kirk Road and Roosevelt Road?
 - A. Yes, they can.
- Q. Now, on your video, you traveled on a road that was referred to as Reed Road. To your knowledge, do you know whether or not that is a dedicated road?
 - A. As of information that we received

- Q. And for the -- did you observe any tractor-trailers on the west side of that --
- A. I did. If you noticed in the video there was a trailer parked behind the welding supply facility.
- Q. So the height of the bridge would accommodate a tractor-trailer at the time?
 - A. That's the only access.
 - O. On Reed Road?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Are you aware of any plans to extend Reed Road further to the west and to the north to intersect with Route 38?
 - MS. DICKSON: Objection. Relevance.
- MR. SHUMATE: How is that relevant? The problem that the industry has is they say they can't to get to Roosevelt Road if there's a modification made to that intersection and I'm asking whether there are other -- any plans that he's aware of to have an alternative exit, right of ingress and egress, if you will, on Roosevelt Road.

increased.

- Q. Mr. Andryuk, can a motorist currently make a left-hand turn at the intersection of Old Kirk Road and Route 38 as the roads are currently configured?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. To your knowledge, have there been any proposals to consider establishment of a traffic light at the intersection of Old Kirk Road and Roosevelt Road?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. And what is the basis of that?
- A. Based on this newspaper article from
 December the 12th in the Daily Herald, there is a
 reference to the city planner, Mr. Tom
 Swierczewski and initially I contacted him to
 verify some of the plan itself that was presented
 in that article as to its accuracy, and during
 that conversation initially he indicated that --
- MS. DICKSON: Objection to any statement that the city planner may have made on the basis of hearsay.

the Kirk Road overpass to generally serve the commercial and residential interests in and around Old Kirk Road?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. This area that is depicted on the newspaper article, is that commonly -- do you know what that's commonly referred to as?
 - A. I believe it's Geneva's East Gateway.
- Q. Now, Mr. Andryuk, this is the first time that you've testified in this particular matter; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And are you familiar with a gentleman by the name of Gerald Linneman?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did you take his position when he retired?
- A. Yes, I did.
- MR. SHUMATE: Your Honor, I have no further questions.

JUDGE TATE: Miss Dickson?

MS. DICKSON: Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE TATE: Sustained.

BY MR. SHUMATE:

- Q. Independently of your conversation, is there any other information that you have or any documentation that you've seen that has shown a proposal for a traffic signal at Old Kirk Road and the intersection of Route 38?
- A. Yes. I've seen the most current proposal before the City of Geneva in the development of that area and it does include a traffic signal at Old Kirk Road and Route 38.
- Q. In your opinion as a public works engineer for the Union Pacific Railroad Company, if the area north of the Union Pacific's tracks is developed as has been proposed in the newspaper with both commercial and industrial uses, would it be your recommendation to maintain Old Kirk Road or to close Old Kirk Road?
 - A. I would recommend that it be closed.
- Q. In your opinion as a member of the engineering department of the Union Pacific Railroad, could access roads be constructed under

CROSS-EXAMINATION

ΒY

MS. DICKSON:

- Q. Mr. Andryuk, you've testified that you believe -- I believe you testified that you believe that there is the potential for building an access road that would connect Kirk Road to New Kirk Road by means of an extension or development of Reed Road; did I understand that correctly?
 - A. Restate that, please.
- Q. Do you believe that it is possible to connect Old Kirk Road to New Kirk Road through the development of Reed Road?
- A. No. Not connect it to Kirk Road, no. That roadway would pass under Kirk Road.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$ And where would that access road connect to?
- A. This -- the plans that we viewed from the City of Geneva show a roadway being, basically, an extension of Woodfield Road, which is on the western side of Kirk Road and be -- being

SHEET 6

4 5

-8

development that could occur there.

- Q. Okay. Looking at what you're pointing to, what road is it that you're speaking of?
- A. I'm speaking of the roadway -- the east/west roadway that runs just below D and D-1 and the roadway that runs east/west below D and E and connects in between Kirk Road and Old Kirk Road.
- Q. Is this road that is shown on the plan just to the south of D and E currently constructed?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And is the road that you had discussed, I think you said between B and C, is that currently constructed?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And even what you haven't testified to, but the road between F and F is that road constructed?
 - A. No, it is not.
- Q. So at present isn't it fair to say that this is just a development proposal?

Geneva has brought them with him today and you will have access to them.

MS. DICKSON: Judge Tate, may we ask for the tender of these plans for the purposes of Mr. Andryuk's testimony?

JUDGE TATE: Is your witness going to present those plans, Mr. Shumate?

MR. SHUMATE: No. The City of Geneva is going to present them as facts in this case when he's called as a witness.

JUDGE TATE: And he's your witness?

MR. SHUMATE: I will take him as my witness, but...

JUDGE TATE: Or did you want to call him as your witness?

MR. SHUMATE: You may, if you want.

MS. DICKSON: No, I don't have any intention to call him as a witness, but in that Mr. Andryuk was allowed to testify to plans that aren't available to be -- to cross-examine him, I would ask if the City of Geneva has the plans, that they be marked, at least, for identification so I

- A. This is a conceptual proposal.
- Q. Which has not been approved by the City of Geneva?
- A. I don't think it's been presented to the board yet.
- Q. Do you know if there's been any land acquisition begun in preparation of construction of any of these proposed roads?
 - A. I don't know that.
- Q. So this proposal is just that, it may never become reality; isn't that true?
 - A. It's a proposal.
- Q. You testified that you saw plans provided by the City of Geneva relative to the installation of a traffic signal at Old Kirk Road and Route 38, do you have those plans with you today?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Do you -- is there any way that you can designate those plans in any fashion to allow any other person to look at what you saw?
 - A. I believe the gentleman from the City of

can discuss those plans with Mr. Andryuk.

MR. SHUMATE: I have no objection to that.

JUDGE TATE: Do we have the plans?

MR. DICK UNTCH: What we have here, your Honor -- this is Dick Untch, director of community development for the City of Geneva, 22 South First Street, Geneva, Illinois 60134. What we have is a document that's been presented by the developer in this case. It consists of an access and traffic analysis for the proposed development. I wasn't a part of the conversation

that Mr. Swierczewski of my staff had with Mr.
Andryuk, but I believe that Mr. Swierczewski

spoke specifically about the proposal for control of traffic associated with the proposed

development. And there are two options concerning --

MS. DICKSON: I'm just going to interrupt you for one moment, Mr. Untch.

Rather than having Mr. Untch testify, I would like to have Mr. Andryuk state specifically what it was that he looked at and we will focus

__ SHEET 7

necessary for that?

A. Illinois Department of Transportation and, perhaps, Kane County.

Q. In looking at this conceptual plan and comparing it to your recollection of the area, is what is shown on this conceptual plan the current configuration of Old Kirk Road as it approaches --

A. No, I don't know.

Q. -- Route 38?

A. No.

Q. What's different about the current configuration of Old Kirk at its intersection of Route 38?

A. There is a change of the alignment. Shifting the road to the east making the intersection with Roosevelt Road further to the east.

Q. Further to the east of the intersection of New Kirk and Roosevelt?

A. Yes.

Q. And, again, this is a proposal and not

a traffic signal, I believe they deemed it wisest to move it further east.

Q. So to be more specific about what you said, it's your understanding if Old Kirk Road retains its current alignment, it does not meet the criteria for signalization at Roosevelt Road?

A. I don't know what that criteria is, so I can't answer that.

Q. I'm just asking your understanding. I believe you testified to that.

A. Apparently so, if they thought it necessary or a better -- a better chance to meet the criteria that they -- that that alignment was designed in order to get a traffic signal installed at Kirk Road.

Q. In your conversations or from any research that you have done, do you have any idea as to when, if ever, this proposed reconfiguration may be constructed?

A. You'd have to ask the City what their time frame is on that.

Q. You don't have any knowledge as to time

actual fact as we sit here today?

A. That is correct.

Q. Do you know if any land acquisition has begun to change the alignment of Old Kirk Road as it intersects with Roosevelt Road?

A. I'm not aware of that.

Q. In your opinion -- or do you have an opinion as to whether any land acquisition would be necessary for this alignment to change?

A. I don't know, ma'am.

Q. And if the alignment of Old Kirk Road and Roosevelt Road was not changed, are you aware of any plan to install signalization at Old Kirk and Route 38?

A. Well, I believe -- no, initially, based on my discussion with Mr. Swierczewski who works for Mr. Untch, there was a signal proposed at the Old Kirk Road intersection as it -- as the alignment now stands. But based on opposition or the difficulty to get it past the criteria for the Illinois Department of Transportation and in order for them to allow the intersection to have

frame?

A. No, I don't.

Q. And as Old Kirk Road is currently meeting with Roosevelt Road, it is not currently signalized; is that correct?

A. Old Kirk Road?

Q. Yes.

A. No, it is not.

Q. You testified that in your opinion the crossing should be closed if additional development occurred. I believe you stated it was because of an increase in traffic which may be engendered by this development, would that be a correct statement of what you testified to?

A Yes

Q. If this development does not occur, would it still be your opinion that this crossing should be closed?

A. Yes.

Q. And are you aware that there has never been any accident history at the Old Kirk Road and the railway crossing?

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

1

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

```
with all the street areas, I believe that the traffic engineer who gave testimony previously did the routing of that -- how that would have to occur in whether they go up Elm Street or Lynn Road or one of these other streets that's named here (indicating) and come around and make -- they'd have to go north and then west and then south onto Kirk Road, I believe is the route -- routing that he laid out at that time.
```

- Q. And doesn't that rerouting adversely impact the residents and businesses and visitors or employees of those businesses in this area if the crossing is closed?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- MS. DICKSON: I have no further questions, Judge Tate.

17 JUDGE TATE: Mr. Berry?

MR. BERRY: Yes, I just have one or two.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY

MR. BERRY:

Q. You mentioned that something you saw in

347

```
A. There is nothing -- they're in the planning stages. My understanding is that the City is in the process of working through those details with IDOT currently to overcome any of the barriers or hurdles or design issues for signalizing the -- a variety of intersections at Old -- at Route 38, both on the west side and the east side.
```

- Q. Is Route 38 a state highway?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4

5

7

9

10

- Q. So it's under the jurisdiction of the Illinois Department of Transportation?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - MR. BERRY: That's all I have.

15 JUDGE TATE: Any redirect?

MR. SHUMATE: Yes, your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY

MR. SHUMATE:

Q. Mr. Andryuk, you referred to the alignment of Old Kirk Road and Mr. Berry asked about the realignment to the east. Approximately on this

349

one of the plans showed a shifting of Old Kirk Road to the east. Is that away from the welding plant, away from Old Kirk Road overpass?

A. Yes. Basically, Old Kirk Road -- as you're headed north, about half-way between Roosevelt Road and the grade crossing would take a jog easterly for whatever the geometry is and move the intersection of Old Kirk Road and Route 38 further to the east.

MR. SHUMATE: Your Honor, this is depicted on the plan and I think it could be shown on the overhead projector for Mr. Barry's view.

MR. BERRY: That's all right. That's the only question I had on that.

BY MR. BERRY:

Q. Just one other thing I wanted to check. I just wanted to be sure that I was clear that, did you say the City had a project that was in the planning stage but had not yet been approved by the appropriate authorities to put traffic signals at the intersection of Old Kirk Road and Route 38?

20

21

22

preliminary conceptual site plan, approximately how much to the east is the jog to the east of Old Kirk Road as proposed? An approximation?

- A. Well, I don't think -- I don't know if there's a scale on this drawing.
 - Q. Yes. Right here (indicating).
 - A. I would say approximately 40 to 60 feet.
- Q. And that would be from the center line of the road to the center?
- A. From the current center line of Old Kirk Road to the new alignment.
- Q. You were asked a question with regard to design plans for the intersection of Kirk Road and Roosevelt Road. Have you seen any final design plans for that?
 - A. I've seen the most current plans for that.
 - Q. Are they final design plans?
 - A. I do not know.
- Q. Have you seen any funding plans for that particular intersection?
 - A. I have not seen any funding plans.
 - Q. Do you know of any funding plans?

350

SHEET 9

Roosevelt Road and Old Kirk Road, a vehicle can make a left- and a right-hand turn; is that correct?

A. That is correct.

MR. SHUMATE: That's all the questions I have, your Honor.

JUDGE TATE: Any other questions for Mr. Andryuk?

MS. DICKSON: Yes. I just have a couple.
RECROSS-EXAMINATION

ΒY

MS. DICKSON:

- Q. Other than the general proposition that a grade separation crossing means that there won't be any interaction with cars, other than the fact that a grade separation may be safer because of that, why else would it be safer to close this crossing? Are you aware of any accident history at the crossing?
- A. I'm not familiar with the accident history.
 - Q. Does the crossing have signalization?

proximity to the grade separation and --

- Q. Isn't that the only reason the UP wants to close this crossing?
- A. Well, the Federal Railroad Administration --

- Q. Excuse me, I'm asking you about the Union Pacific Railroad now.
- A. Repeat the question, please.
- Q. Isn't the only reason the Union Pacific Railroad seeks to close this crossing is because in its opinion it's redundant because of the grade separation at New Kirk?
- A. I believe the -- no, that is not correct. The safety issues are a primary factor at all grade crossing.
- Q. And what are the safety issues involved at Old Kirk Road?
- A. Any time you have a train and vehicle traffic intersecting one another, it is a safety issue.
- Q. Is the UP attempting to go close every at-grade crossing in the state of Illinois?

- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Is the visibility at this crossing such that vehicles attempting to traverse the crossing have the opportunity to view a train coming from either direction?
 - A. Yes. It has adequate visibility.
- Q. Does the traffic crossing this -- the railroad crossing, is it of such a volume that it would require closing the crossing?
 - A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Are you aware of what the traffic volume is that utilizes the crossing?
- A. Based on the last traffic study that was presented in the previous testimony, I believe it was in the 6 -- close to 700 vehicles a day.
- Q. And is there anything that indicates to you because of the volume of the vehicles -- let's assume it's 6 or 700 vehicles a day -- is there anything given that volume that leads to the conclusion that the crossing should be closed?
 - A. Well, again, it is redundant in its

- A. That would be very optimistic on their behalf.
 - Q. It isn't?
- A. They are not trying to, that would be unrealistic.
- Q. So is the UP's concern for safety only at this grade crossing?
 - A. No, not at all.
- Q. Those same safety concerns, though, haven't prompted the UP to seek to close every other grade crossing?
 - A. No. It -- no.
- Q. Does -- are you familiar with the criteria required to be met to close a grade crossing?
 - A. Somewhat
- Q. From your somewhat familiarity with those criteria, in your opinion, does the UP have evidence necessary to meet each of those criteria?
- A. I don't believe this meets the vehicular traffic pattern. It meets the adverse distance criteria, but I do not -- and it meets the train